

**IN THE DRAWINGS**

***Kindly enter the enclosed amended drawings:***

Applicant submits herewith pages 2 and 3, annotated as Replacement Sheets, including drawings 3, 4, 5a, 5b, 5c and 6.

Encl:

### **REMARKS**

Entry of this Amendment and reconsideration are respectfully requested in view of the amendments made to the claims and for the remarks made herein.

Claims 1-7 are pending and stand rejected.

Claims 5-7 are objected to.

Claim 1 is an independent claim.

Claims 1-7 have been amended.

With regard to the objection to the Information Disclosure Statement, applicant submits, herewith, a supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, including a copy of the foreign document previously submitted (WO 03044765A2).

With regard to the non-pre-published applications cited in the specification, applicant has traced these applications to comparable US Patent Applications and has provided reference to these applications on the Information Disclosure Statement.

Applicant respectfully requests that the references disclosed be considered in evaluating the instant application on its merits.

The drawings are objected to for including reference numbers not disclosed in the written description and for not including the label "Area  $A_{n+2}$ ".

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his observations and has provided amended drawing, annotated as Replacement Sheets, providing corrections to the drawings. Specifically, Figure 4 has been amended to remove the term  $t_0$  and replace it with "t" to define the axis as a time axis and Figure 6 has been amended to include the term  $A_{n+2}$ . In addition, applicant has elected to amend the specification to include the labels provided in the Figures 4, 5 and 6.

No new matter has been added to the drawings or specification.

For the amendments made to the drawings, applicant submits that the reason for the objection has been overcome and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

The specification is objected to for including a grammatical error.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his observations and has provided amendments to the specification to correct the grammatical error noted.

In addition, the specification has been amended to include the labels  $V_{n-1}$  driving,  $V_n$  driving,  $V_{n+1}$  driving and  $V_{dc-balancing}$ . No new matter has been added support for these labels may be found in the specification.

The specification has also been amended to provide the publication numbers of the corresponding US Patent Applications of the European Patent Applications referred to in the specification.

For the amendments made to the specification, applicant submits that the reason for the objection has been overcome and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

Claims 5-7 are objected to for being in improper form.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his observations and has provided amendments to claims 5-7 to place the claims into a form consistent with current US patent practice.

For the amendments made to the claims, applicant submits that the reason for the objection has been overcome and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for failing to distinctly claiming the subject matter regarded as the invention.

With regard to the rejection of the claims, applicant has amended the claims to provide clarity in the claims to avoid further confusion. However, applicant believes that the claims, as originally filed in many cases, were clear in their recitation of the subject matter claimed and that one skilled in the art would have an adequate understanding the invention from the originally filed claims.

For example, the Office Action questions whether the "driving means" is one or a plurality of means and whether the driving means in any way drives anything.

However, a review of the specification reveals that the applicant refers to "driving means (100) comprises a controller 102 for applying potential differences or pulses to the picture elements of the display 1." (see page 6, lines 14-17). Hence, adequate support may be found in the specification for the term "driving means" which the applicant has

decided to define in the specification. Accordingly, applicant has elected not to amend the term driving means as it relates to the invention disclosed.

With regard to the term "supply" (see page 7, OA), applicant submits that this term, in the context of the claim, would lead one skilled in the art to understand that term is used as in a voltage supply that supplies a voltage to a device. Hence, applicant has elected not to amend the claim to change the term "supply."

With regard to the term "substantially" in Figure 5, applicant submits that contrary to the assertion in the Office Action that the term is indefinite, MPEP 2173.05(b)D recites case law wherein the term "substantially" is found to be a definitive term in the context of the specification and that the use of the term "substantially" does not render a claim indefinite *per se*. In this case, one skilled in the art would understand that the term refers to "approximately" the maximum voltage.

For the amendments made to the claims, in view of the Office Actions comments, applicant submits that the reason for the rejection has been overcome and respectfully requests that all the objections and rejections be withdrawn.

Should the Examiner believe that the disposition of any issues arising from this response may be best resolved by a telephone call, the Examiner is invited to contact applicant's representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,  
Daniel Piotrowski,

Date: August 4, 2008

/Carl A. Giordano/  
By: Carl A. Giordano  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 41,780

**Mail all correspondence to:**

Daniel Piotrowski, Registration No. 42,079  
US PHILIPS CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 3001  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001  
Phone: (914) 333-9608  
Fax: (914) 332-0615

August 2008